IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

UCHECHI AMUNEKE-NZE,	\$	
Plaintiff,	\$ \$ 6	
v.	\$	1:23-CV-337-RP
KELLY CRAIN, et al.,	\$ \$ \$	
Defendants.	8	

ORDER

Before the Court is the report and recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Susan Hightower concerning Plaintiff Uchechi Amuneke-Nze's ("Plaintiff") complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e), 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), Rule 1(d) of Appendix C of the Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas, and this Court's referral, (Order, Dkt. 15). (R. & R., Dkt. 17). In her report and recommendation, Judge Hightower recommends that the Court dismiss this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B). (*Id.* at 2). Plaintiff timely filed objections to the report and recommendation. (Objs., Dkt. 18).

A party may serve and file specific, written objections to a magistrate judge's findings and recommendations within fourteen days after being served with a copy of the report and recommendation and, in doing so, secure de novo review by the district court. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). Because Plaintiff timely objected to the report and recommendation, the Court reviews the report and recommendation de novo. Having done so and for the reasons given in the report and recommendation, the Court overrules Plaintiff's objections and adopts the report and recommendation as its own order.

Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** that the report and recommendation of United States

Magistrate Judge Susan Hightower, (Dkt. 17), is **ADOPTED**. Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, (Dkt.

11), is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** as to the state law claims **and DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** as to the RICO and §1983 claims.

Plaintiff's motion for extension of time, (Dkt. 19), is **DISMISSED AS MOOT**.

SIGNED on September 18, 2023.

ROBERT PITMAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE